

FLORISTICS OF BAYGALLS IN CENTRAL LOUISIANA

Barbara R. MacRoberts and Michael H. MacRoberts

Bog Research, 740 Columbia, Shreveport, LA 71104 and Herbarium
Museum of Life Sciences, Louisiana State University in Shreveport
Shreveport, LA 71115

Lynn Stacey Jackson

U.S.D.A. Forest Service, Kisatchie National Forest, Winn Ranger
District, Winnfield, LA 71483

ABSTRACT

Headwater plant communities --- baygalls and pitcher plant bogs --- were studied in the northern part of the Winn Ranger District, Kisatchie National Forest, Louisiana. Floristically baygalls, discussed in this paper, form a fairly uniform community type across most of the West Gulf Coastal Plain.

KEY WORDS: baygall, West Gulf Coastal Plain, floristics, Kisatchie National Forest, Louisiana.

INTRODUCTION

Baygalls are a characteristic wetland community of the Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plains extending through western Louisiana into eastern Texas (Allen et al. 1988; Bridges and Orzell 1989; Brooks et al. 1993; Christenson 1988; Harcombe et al. 1993; Nesom et al. 1997; Weakley et al. 1998). They are variable over their range but are generally characterized by such woody species as *Magnolia virginiana* (sweet bay) and *Ilex coriacea* (gallberry holly), for which the community is named. Other common associates are *Acer rubrum*, *Ilex opaca*, *Nyssa biflora*, *Persea palustris*, *Rhododendron* spp., and

Vaccinium spp. In western Louisiana and eastern Texas, baygalls are generally headwater communities occurring at streamheads or on short slopes that receive enough seepage to be permanently saturated. They are recognized as a natural community in both Louisiana and Texas (Diamond et al. 1987; Louisiana Natural Heritage Program 1994; Texas Natural Heritage Program 1993; Turner et al. 1999; Weakley et al. 1998; Van Kley 1999a,b) and have been described for various localities in the West Gulf Coastal Plain (Ajilvsgi 1979; Brooks et al. 1993; Marks and Harcombe 1981; Martin and Smith 1991; Nesom et al. 1997; Nixon et al. 1980, 1983; Nixon and Ward 1988; Orzell 1990; Van Kley 1999a,b; Watson 1979).

As with most plant communities, descriptions usually center on woody species because they can be identified year round and are relatively easily quantified. The herbaceous layer is often briefly mentioned but seldom described in detail. In this study we describe both.

SITE DESCRIPTION

This study was conducted on the northern part of the Winn Ranger District, Kisatchie National Forest, in northern Natchitoches and Winn parishes, Louisiana. All study sites are located within what is generally classified as riparian forest habitat that is adjacent to, and often surrounded by, upland longleaf pine forest (Martin and Smith 1991). The area was originally dominated by longleaf pine (Eldredge 1934; Martin and Smith 1991), notably in forest/savanna form on uplands, but today in some areas the longleaf pine has been replaced with off-site species such as loblolly pine. The longleaf pine forest community type has received detailed ecological and distributional attention in the West Gulf Coastal Plain (Bridges and Orzell 1989; Evans 1997; Frost 1993; Harcombe et al. 1993; Van Kley 1999a,b).

The area has a subtropical humid climate with hot summers and mild winters. The average annual precipitation is about 140 cm spread evenly throughout the year. The average annual temperature is about

20C (extreme range is about -7C to 38C). Thunderstorms average about 60 days per year and are concentrated in the growing season (Olson and Platt 1995). Fire was an important element in the evolution of the plants and animals of the area, many communities being dependent on growing season burns ignited by lightning strikes (Bridges and Orzell 1989; Drewa et al. 2002; Frost 1998; Harcombe et al. 1993; Olson and Platt 1995; Platt 1999).

Baygalls are situated on loamy fine sand, fine sandy loam, or fine loamy sand (Malbis, Betis, Ruston, Sacul). All are below uplands (ridgetops) of similar soils but with gentler gradients (1-5 percent as opposed to 5-12 percent). All are Paleudults and Hapludults (suborder = Udults, Order = Ultisols) (Martin et al. 1990). There is little topographic relief except for slightly rolling hills. The study area is on the older geologic surfaces, notably the Sparta formation of Tertiary age (Groat and Roland 1984).

The study area in general consists of two north-south ridges divided by Saline Bayou. The watershed of the western area flows into Black Lake Bayou to the west and the Saline Bayou to the east. The watershed of the eastern ridge flows into Saline Bayou to the west and Dugdemonia River to the east. All ultimately discharge into the Red River.

METHODS

1. We made monthly visits to each of six baygalls (numbers 5 through 10) between March and November 1999 to obtain complete floristic lists for each site. The study sites are listed in Appendix 1 by name and number. All sites were between 0.1 and 0.2 ha in size. Voucher collections for many of the species are deposited at BRIT and LSU. Plant nomenclature follows Kartesz and Meacham (1999); scientific authorities for all names used in this paper can be read from that reference.

Species	Number of Individuals	Mean Diameter (cm)	Range (cm)
<i>Magnolia virgin.</i>	32	10.5	2-30
<i>Nyssa biflora</i>	30	8.0	2-40
<i>Persea palustris</i>	29	3.5	2-10
<i>Viburnum nudum</i>	9	2.0	2
<i>Acer rubrum</i>	7	6.1	2-10
<i>Ilex opaca</i>	5	2.4	2-3
<i>Chionanthus virg.</i>	4	4.0	2-10
<i>Vaccinium</i> sp.	4	2.3	2-3
<i>Liquidambar styra.</i>	4	4.0	2-6
<i>Toxicodendron v.</i>	3	2.7	2-4
<i>Taxodium distich.</i>	3	13.7	12-17
<i>Pinus taeda</i>	1	12.0	12
<i>Alnus serrulata</i>	1	2.0	2
<i>Quercus alba</i>	1	18.0	18

Table 1. Dominant woody species.

2. In May and June 1999 we established ten, 5 X 5 meter quadrats (25 m sq.), one in each of ten baygalls; we added four sites to the original six to increase our sample size. Frequency and diameter of all woody species with a dbh of 2 cm or greater were recorded. Herbaceous species presence was described by identifying all species in the plots; herbaceous cover was measured and percent cover estimated for major species. Canopy cover was estimated for the quadrat.

3. A soil sample was collected from the upper 15 cm of each of the ten 5 X 5 meter plots and analyzed by A & L Laboratories, Memphis, Tennessee.

RESULTS

Appendix 2 summarizes the total species composition of six

Species	Percent by plot									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
<i>Athyrium filix-fem.</i>						6				
<i>Bignonia capreolata</i>						1				
<i>Carex atlantica</i>								19		
<i>Carex debilis</i>			15	4	6	10			2	
<i>Carex leptalea</i>	21		2			2		18	3	10
<i>Chasmanthum laxum</i>			1							2
<i>Dichanthelium dich.</i>							1	1		
<i>Dichanthelium sp.</i>										4
<i>Eleocharis tortilis</i>					2		2	5		10
<i>Gelsemium semper.</i>								1		
Liverworts	2						2	3	1	3
<i>Lycopus rubellus</i>							1			
<i>Mitchella repens</i>	2	1	1	30		4		2	1	
<i>Osmunda cinnam.</i>	1	1	5	2	4	7		5	4	
<i>Osmunda regalis</i>	1	1	1			2			5	
<i>Platanthera clav.</i>										1
<i>Rudbeckia scabrifolia</i>						4				
<i>Scleria sp.</i>		1								
<i>Scleria triglomerata</i>							1			
<i>Solidago patula</i>						3	2		1	
<i>Sphagnum sp.</i>				10						
<i>Viola primulifolia</i>	2		1							
<i>Woodwardia areolata</i>	22	1	30	20	88	50	50	30	60	
<i>Woodwardia virginica</i>									3	20
<i>Xyris laxifolia</i>								1		
Total	50	5	52	69	98	82	70	80	81	54

Table 2. Percent herbaceous ground cover by plot.

baygalls. Appendix 3 shows occurrence by plot for each species in the 5 X 5 m plots. Table 1 summarizes the larger woody vegetation in ten 5 X 5 m plots. Table 2 gives the percent of herbaceous ground cover by dominant species in each plot. Only species accounting for 1% or more

in any plot are included. Table 3 gives percentage frequency of all species from the baygalls as rated in Reed's (1988 and update) hydrophytic classification. It also gives the prevalence index for the entire sample (see Cowardin et al. 1979; Federal Manual 1989; MacRoberts and MacRoberts 2001; Reed 1988; U.S. Corps of Engineers 1987 for an explanation of terms and measures). Table 4 gives information on soil samples from the ten sample sites.

DISCUSSION

There were 40 families, 61 genera, and 89 species in the six baygalls. The average baygall had 52 species (range 39 to 68). Dicots accounted for 54 percent of the total species. All sites had liverworts and *Sphagnum*, which are not included in the totals. Sorenson's Index of Similarity among the six baygalls ranges from 62 to 87, indicating that they are all floristically the same community.

Magnolia virginiana, *Nyssa biflora*, and *Persea palustris* were clearly the dominant woody species, both in number of individuals and in basal area within the 5 X 5 m plots. Several species, for example, *Smilax laurifolia*, were present in many plots, but since the stems were always less than 2 cm diameter, they are not listed among those in Table 1. Canopy cover of the ten plots ranged from 80% to 100% and averaged 94%.

Overall herbaceous cover ranged from 5% to 98% and averaged 65%. Ferns and monocots dominated. The following species are listed in order of dominance in the herbaceous layer: *Woodwardia areolata* (35.1%), *Carex leptalea* (5.6%), *Mitchella repens* (4.1%), *Carex debilis* (3.7%), *Osmunda cinnamomea* (2.9%), *Woodwardia virginica* (2.3%), *Carex atlantica* (1.9%), *Eleocharis tortilis* (1.9%), liverworts (1.1%), *Osmunda regalis* (1.0%), *Sphagnum* sp. (1.0%). All the other species in these plots accounted for less than 1% each of the total herbaceous layer.

	OBL	FACW	FAC	FACU	UPL	Prev. Index	Sample Size
All species	27	39	24	10	0	2.17	89
Woody species	9	38	35	18	0	2.62	34
Herb. species	38	40	16	6	0	1.89	55

Table 3. Species percents by wetland categories, prevalence index, and species sample size (see text for category explanation).

The flora of these baygall sites is clearly dominated by hydrophytes. About 80% are OBL, FACW, and FAC (50% or higher qualifies a site as wetland). Counting all species for these sites, the prevalence index is 2.17, meaning that baygalls are wetlands (1.0 means that all species are obligate wetland species, 5.0 means that all species are obligate upland [dry] species). Woody and non-woody species differed in prevalence: the prevalence index for woody species was 2.62 and that for herbaceous species was 1.89. This suggests either that woody vegetation has a greater moisture gradient than non-woody species or that the species are somewhat misclassified.

The soils are acidic and low in nutrients and organic matter, falling within the normal range of soil chemistry of baygalls and pitcher plant bogs in east Texas and west Louisiana except that the pH for the present group of baygalls is slightly lower (MacRoberts and MacRoberts 2001; MacRoberts et al. 2002; Nesom et al. 1997; Nixon and Ward 1986).

The West Gulf Coastal Plain is ecologically part of the eastern flora. Using Kartesz and Meacham (1999), we determined the distribution of the species that occur in our study sites. We found 95% to be eastern, 3% cosmopolitan (found throughout North America), and 2% endemic. The endemics are *Rudbeckia scabrifolia* and *Rhododendron oblongifolium*. The cosmopolitan species are *Carex leptalea*, *Juncus effusus*, and *Athyrium filix-femina*.

Site	Exchangeable ions (ppm)					
	pH	P	K	Ca	Mg	OM%
1	4.5	11	32	322	46	1.9
2	4.3	10	51	458	69	3.3
3	4.4	10	28	196	52	3.1
4	3.7	13	62	58	41	4.8
5	4.1	4	30	147	36	1.9
6	4.1	6	22	78	25	2.2
7	4.6	15	19	1136	36	1.8
8	4.1	2	16	103	31	2.3
9	4.3	8	25	86	28	2.8
10	4.0	11	33	135	69	4.4

Table 4. Soil chemistry.

Baygalls in the West Gulf Coastal Plain occur in association with pyrogenic communities (Bridges and Orzell 1989; Harcombe et al. 1993). But unlike these communities, baygalls are not pyrogenic. We have witnessed in many instances that prescribed fire, both in growing and non-growing season, does not penetrate far, if at all, into baygall communities but at most fingers into the edges. These fires, which historically probably occurred once every few years and which probably occurred in the growing season and were caused by lightning, cleared the surrounding lands but usually left these baygall "islands" intact.

Comparing baygalls with other plant communities in the West Gulf Coastal Plain indicates that, while distinct, they are most closely related to pitcher plant bogs as indicated by ordination (Van Kley 1999a) and direct species comparisons (MacRoberts and MacRoberts 2001; Nixon and Ward 1986). For example, comparing the total floristic list for six baygalls to four small pitcher plant bogs on the Kisatchie District of the Kisatchie National Forest with comparable species numbers gives an Index of Similarity (Sorenson's) in the mid-30s (MacRoberts and

MacRoberts 2001). Thus, while sharing many species, baygalls and bogs do not share enough to be considered the same plant community.

Martin and Smith (1991) have distinguished between "wooded seeps" and "bayhead swamps" but little in their description separates these entities; these authors admit that the two communities are very similar and intergrade. Brooks et al. (1993) found that wooded seeps graded into wet creek bottoms or bayhead swamps. On the basis of quantitative data, Van Kley (1999a) and Turner et al. (1999) found that these "different" communities were clearly one. Our work supports the conclusion that they be considered the same.

This does not mean that baygalls are uniform. Most of our baygalls are the same community type described by Nixon et al. (1983) as "wet," which occurs at branch heads, creek heads, wet creek bottoms, and seepages. However, some show similarities to bogs (Nixon and Ward 1988). Notable is site 10, which showed bog similarities and may have been a bog at one time.

While we found *Nyssa biflora* and *Viburnum nudum* important, Nixon et al. (1980) and Nixon and Ward (1988) in their study of wet creek bottoms in Nacogdoches County and in the Trout Creek drains on the Angelina National Forest in Texas did not. As they point out, at least in their Trout Creek location, the herbaceous species are a mixture of baygall and seepage bog species, which may partly explain the difference (Nixon and Ward 1986). In the Trout Creek area, baygalls and bogs are often contiguous and the species from one grade into the other in ecotonal zones.

Brooks et al. (1993) studied baygalls on a north-south gradient in east Texas from southern Rusk to central Hardin counties. They found that, while *Nyssa biflora* and *Magnolia virginiana* were important in both northern and southern examples, *Acer rubrum*, *Liquidambar styraciflua*, and *Morella carolinensis* were important in the north and *Cyrilla racemiflora*, *Ilex coriacea*, and *Quercus laurifolia* were important in the south. They also found that *Persea palustris* (which

was important in the Winn District baygalls) was not particularly important in either group.

Nixon et al. (1983) in their study of different segments of a single streamside in San Augustine County found that different areas of the stream had different species composition, depending on moisture.

Nesom et al. (1997) found that in Walker and Anderson counties on the western edge of the baygall community range, such trees as *Magnolia* and *Persea* have entirely dropped out of the flora and such species as *Viburnum nudum* dominate.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Chief's Grant 08-99-06-CCS-003, National Forest Foundation 08-99-06-CCS-007, and National Fish and Wildlife Foundation, Headwater Community Plant Restoration 99-100-011. Thanks to Guy Nesom and Jim Neal for reviewing an earlier version of the paper.

LITERATURE CITED

- Ajilvsgi, G. 1979. Wild flowers of the Big Thicket. Texas A. & M. University Press, College Station, Texas.
- Allen, C.M., C.H. Stagg, and S.D. Parris. 1988. Analysis of the vegetation in pitcher plant bogs in two baygalls at Ft. Polk in west central Louisiana. *Proc. Louisiana Acad. Sci.* 50:1-8.
- Bridges, E.L. and S.L. Orzell. 1989. Longleaf pine communities of the West Gulf Coastal Plain. *Natural Areas Journal* 19:246-263.
- Brooks, A.R., E.S. Nixon, and J.A. Neal. 1993. Woody vegetation of wet creek bottom communities in eastern Texas. *Castanea* 58:185-196.

- Christensen, N.L. 2000. Vegetation of the southeastern Coastal Plain. Pp. 297-448. *In* M.G. Barbour and W.D. Billings (Eds.), North American terrestrial vegetation. Cambridge University Press, New York.
- Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deepwater habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Report FWS/OBS-79/31. Washington, D.C.
- Diamond, D.D., D.H. Riskind and S.L. Orzell. 1987. A framework for plant community classification and conservation in Texas. *Texas J. Sci.* 39:203-221.
- Drewa, P.B., W.J. Platt, and E.B. Moser. 2002. Fire effects on resprouting of shrubs in headwaters of southeastern longleaf pine savannas. *Ecology* 83:755-767.
- Eldredge, I.F. 1934. Areas characterized by the major forest types state of Louisiana. U.S.D.A. Southern Forest Experiment Station. New Orleans, Louisiana.
- Evans, R.E. 1997. Distribution and composition of historical forest types in two eastern Texas counties; with special reference to longleaf pine. MS Thesis. Stephen F. Austin State University, Nacogdoches, Texas.
- Federal Interagency Committee for Wetland Delineation. 1989. Federal manual for identifying and delineating jurisdictional wetlands. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and U.S. Soil Conservation Service, Washington, D.C.
- Frost, C.C. 1993. Four centuries of changing landscape patterns in the longleaf pine ecosystem. *Proc. Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference* 18:17-43.

- Frost, C.C. 1998. Presettlement fire frequency regimes of the United States: A first approximation. Proc. Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference 20:70-81.
- Groat, C.G. and H.L. Roland. 1984. Geologic map of Louisiana. Louisiana Geological Survey, Baton Rouge, Louisiana.
- Harcombe, P.A., J.S. Glitzenstein, R.G. Knox, S.L. Orzell, and E.L. Bridges. 1993. Vegetation of the longleaf pine region of the West Gulf Coastal Plain. Proc. Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference 18:83-103.
- Kartesz, J.T. and C.A. Meacham. 1999. Synthesis of North American flora. North Carolina Botanical Garden, Chapel Hill.
- Louisiana Natural Heritage Program. 1994. Natural wetland plant communities found outside of the Louisiana coastal zone. Unpublished report, Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries. Baton Rouge, Louisiana.
- MacRoberts, M.H. and B.R. MacRoberts. 2001. Bog communities of the West Gulf Coastal Plain: a profile. Bog Research Papers in Botany & Ecology 1:1-151.
- MacRoberts, B.R., M.H. MacRoberts, and L.S. Jackson. 2002. Floristics and management of pitcher plant bogs in northern Natchitoches and Winn parishes, Louisiana. Proc. Louisiana Acad. Sci. 64:14-21.
- Marks, P.L. and P.A. Harcombe. 1981. Forest vegetation of the Big Thicket, southeast Texas. Ecological Monographs 51:287-305.
- Martin, D.L. and L.M. Smith. 1991. A survey and description of the natural plant communities of Kisatchie National Forest, Winn and Kisatchie Districts. Louisiana Dept. Wildlife and Fisheries. Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

- Martin, P.G., C.L. Butler, E. Scott, J.E. Lyles, M. Mariano, J. Ragus, P. Mason, and L. Schoelerman. 1990. Soil survey of Natchitoches Parish, Louisiana. U.S.D.A. Soil Conservation Service, Washington, D.C.
- Nesom, G.L., B.R. MacRoberts, and M.H. MacRoberts. 1997. A new plant community type in southeast Texas related to baygalls. *Phytologia* 83:371-383.
- Nixon, E.S., J.W. Higgins, P.L. Blanchette, and F.A. Roth. 1980. Woody vegetation of a wet creek branch in east Texas. *Texas J. Sci.* 32:337-341.
- Nixon, E.S., R.L. Ehrhart, S.A. Jasper, J.S. Neck, and J.R. Ward. 1983. Woody, streamside vegetation of Prairie Creek in east Texas. *Texas J. Sci.* 35:205-213.
- Nixon, E.S. and J.R. Ward. 1986. Floristic composition and management of east Texas pitcher plant bogs. Pp. 283-287. *In* D.L. Kulhavy and R.W. Conner (Eds.), *Wilderness and natural areas in the eastern United States: a management challenge*. School of Forestry, Stephen F. Austin State Univ., Nacogdoches, Texas.
- Nixon, E.S. and J.R. Ward. 1988. Vegetation of a wet creek bottom site in eastern Texas. *Texas J. Sci.* 40:358-361.
- Olson, M.S. and W.J. Platt. 1995. Effects of habitat and growing season fires on resprouting of shrubs in longleaf pine savannas. *Vegetation* 119:101-118.
- Orzell, S.L. 1990. Texas Natural Heritage Program inventory of National Forests and Grasslands in Texas. Unpublished report. Texas Natural Heritage Program, Austin, Texas.
- Platt, W.J. 1999. Southeastern pine savannas. Pp. 23-51. *In* R.C.

- Anderson, J.S. Frailsh, and J.M. Baskin (Eds.), Savannas, barrens, and rock outcrop communities of North America. Cambridge University Press, New York.
- Reed, P.B. 1988 (and 1996 update). National list of plant species that occur in wetlands: national summary. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Biological Report 88(24). Washington, D.C.
- Texas Natural Heritage Program. 1993. Plant communities of Texas (series level). Unpublished report. Texas Natural Heritage Program, Austin, Texas.
- Turner, R.L., J.E. Van Kley, L.S. Smith, and R.E. Evans. 1999. Ecological classification system for the national forests and adjacent areas of the West Gulf Coastal Plain. The Nature Conservancy, Nacogdoches, Texas.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Technical Report Y-87-1. U.S. Army Eng. Waterway Exp. Stn., Vicksburg, Mississippi.
- Van Kley, J.E. 1999a. The vegetation of the Kisatchie sandstone hills, Louisiana. *Castanea* 64:64-80.
- Van Kley, J.E. 1999b. The vegetation of the high terrace rolling uplands, Louisiana. *Castanea* 64:318-336.
- Watson, G. 1979. Big Thicket plant ecology. Big Thicket Museum, Saratoga, Texas.
- Weakley, A.S., K.D. Patterson, S. Landall, and M. Pyne. 1998. International classification of ecological communities: terrestrial vegetation of the southeastern United States. Working Draft. The Nature Conservancy, Chapel Hill, North Carolina.

Appendix 1. Site numbers, locations, and common names. All sites are on the Winn Ranger District, Kisatchie National Forest.

- 1) Strange Road Baygall. Natchitoches Parish, Compartment 18.
T12NR6WS1/12.
- 2) Lewis Klein Baygall. Natchitoches Parish, Compartment 18.
T13NR6WS35/36.
- 3) *Thalictrum* Baygall. Natchitoches Parish, Compartment 18.
T13NR6WS25/26/35/36.
- 4) Road 506 Baygall. Winn Parish, Compartment 5. T13NR5WS9.
- 5) Compartment 18 Baygall. Natchitoches Parish, Compartment 18.
T13NR6WS26.
- 6) Compartment 19 Baygall. Natchitoches Parish, Compartment 19.
T13NR6WS25.
- 7) *Rudbeckia* Baygall. Natchitoches Parish, Compartment 1.
T13NR7WS2.
- 8) Snake Baygall. Natchitoches Parish, Compartment 1. T13NR6WS7.
- 9) Cypress Branch Baygall. Winn Parish, Compartment 5.
T13NR5WS8/9.
- 10) Road W5A Baygall. Winn Parish, Compartment 5. T13NR5WS4.

Appendix 2. Vascular plants occurring in six baygalls (x = present, -=absent)

FAMILY/ SPECIES	SITE					
	5	6	7	8	9	10
ACERACEAE						
<i>Acer rubrum</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x
ANACARDIACEAE						
<i>Toxicodendron vernix</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x
APIACEAE						
<i>Oxypolis rigidior</i>	x	-	-	-	x	x
<i>Ptilimnium capillaceum</i>	-	-	-	-	-	x
AQUIFOLIACEAE						
<i>Ilex opaca</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x
<i>I. vomitoria</i>	x	x	-	x	x	x
ARACEAE						
<i>Arisaema triphyllum</i>	x	x	-	-	-	x
ASTERACEAE						
<i>Doellingeria</i>						
<i>sericocarpoides</i>	-	-	-	-	x	x
<i>Elephantopus nudatus</i>	-	-	-	-	x	-
<i>Eu patorium perfoliatum</i>	x	x	x	x	-	x
<i>E. rotundifolium</i>	-	x	x	-	x	x
<i>Helianthus angustifolius</i>	-	-	-	-	-	x
<i>Liatris pycnostachya</i>	-	-	-	-	-	x
<i>Rudbeckia scabrifolia</i>	-	-	x	-	-	-
<i>Solidago patula</i>						
var. <i>strictula</i>	x	x	x	-	x	x
<i>S. rugosa</i> var. <i>aspera</i>	-	-	-	-	-	x
<i>Symphyotrichum</i>						
<i>lateriflorum</i>	x	x	-	x	x	x
BETULACEAE						
<i>Alnus serrulata</i>	x	x	-	x	x	-
BIGNONIACEAE						
<i>Bignonia capreolata</i>	x	x	-	-	x	x

FAMILY/ SPECIES	SITE					
	5	6	7	8	9	10
BLECHNACEAE						
<i>Woodwardia areolata</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x
<i>W. virginiana</i>	x		x	-	x	x
CAPRIFOLIACEAE						
<i>Viburnum nudum</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x
CLUSIACEAE						
<i>Hypericum crux-andreae</i>	-	-	-	x	-	-
CORNACEAE						
<i>Cornus florida</i>	-	x				-
<i>Nyssa biflora</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x
CUPRESSACEAE						
<i>Taxodium distichum</i>	-	-	-	x	-	-
CYPERACEAE						
<i>Carex atlantica</i>	x	x	-	x	x	x
<i>C. crebriflora</i>	-	-	-	-	x	x
<i>C. crinata</i>	x	-	-	-	-	x
<i>C. debilis</i>	x	x	-	x	x	x
<i>C. glaucescens</i>	x	x	x	x	-	x
<i>C. intumescens</i>	x	-	-	-	-	-
<i>C. leptalea</i>	x	x	-	x	x	x
<i>C. lurida</i>	x	x	-	-	-	-
<i>Eleocharis tortilis</i>	x	-	x	x	-	x
<i>E. tuberculosa</i>	-	-	x	-	-	-
<i>Rhynchospora caduca</i>	x		-	-	-	-
<i>R. glomerata</i>	x	x	x	x	-	x
<i>R. gracilentia</i>	-	-	x	x	x	x
<i>Scleria oligantha</i>	-	-	x	x	-	x
<i>S. triglomerata</i>	x	x	x	-	-	x
DRYOPTERIDACEAE						
<i>Athyrium filix-femina</i>	x	x	-	x	x	x
ERICACEAE						
<i>Rhododendron canescens</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x
<i>R. oblongifolium</i>	x	x	x	-	-	-

FAMILY/ SPECIES	SITE					
	5	6	7	8	9	10
<i>Vaccinium fuscatum</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x
<i>V. corymbosum</i>	x	x	-	-	-	x
ERIOCAULACEAE						
<i>Eriocaulon decangulare</i>		-		-	-	x
FAGACEAE						
<i>Fagus grandifolia</i>	-	x	-	-	-	
<i>Quercus alba</i>	x	x	-	x	x	
<i>Q. nigra</i>	-	-	-	-	-	x
GENTIANACEAE						
<i>Bartonia paniculata</i>			x	-	-	-
HAMAMELIDACEAE						
<i>Liquidambar styraciflua</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x
IRIDACEAE						
<i>Sisyrinchium mucronatum</i>		x	-	-	-	-
JUNCACEAE						
<i>Juncus effusus</i>	x	x	-	-		
LAMIACEAE						
<i>Lycopus rubellus</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x
LAURACEAE						
<i>Persea palustris</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x
LILIACEAE						
<i>Melanthium virginicum</i>	x	x	-	-	x	x
LOGANIACEAE						
<i>Gelsemium sempervirens</i>	x	x	-	x	x	x
MAGNOLIACEAE						
<i>Magnolia virginiana</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x
MELASTOMATACEAE						
<i>Rhexia mariana</i>	-	-	-	-	-	x
<i>R. petiolata</i>	-	-	-	-	-	x
MYRICACEAE						
<i>Morella cerifera</i>	-	x	x	-	-	x
<i>M. carolinensis</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x
OLEACEAE						

FAMILY/ SPECIES	SITE					
	5	6	7	8	9	10
<i>Chionanthus virginicus</i>	x	-	-	-	-	x
ORCHIDACEAE						
<i>Calopogon tuberosus</i>	-	-	-	-	-	x
<i>Platanthera ciliaris</i>	-	-	-	-	-	x
<i>P. clavellata</i>	x	x	x	x	-	x
<i>Pogonia ophioglossoides</i>	-	-	-	-	-	x
OSMUNDACEAE						
<i>Osmunda cinnamomea</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x
<i>O. regalis</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x
PINACEAE						
<i>Pinus palustris</i>	-	x	-	x	x	x
<i>P. taeda</i>	x	x	-	x	x	x
POACEAE						
<i>Chasmanthium laxum</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x
<i>Dichanthelium commutatum</i>	x	x	-	-	x	x
<i>D. dichotomum</i>						
var. <i>dichotomum</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x
<i>Leersia virginica</i>	x	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Panicum verrucosum</i>	-	-	-	-	x	-
<i>Saccharum giganteum</i>	-	-	-	-	-	x
ROSACEAE						
<i>Photinia pyrifolia</i>	-	x	x	-	x	x
RUBIACEAE						
<i>Mitchella repens</i>	x	x	-	x	x	x
SMILACACEAE						
<i>Smilax bona-nox</i>	x	x	-	-	-	-
<i>S. glauca</i>	x	x	-	-	-	x
<i>S. laurifolia</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x
<i>S. rotundifolia</i>	-	-	-	x	-	-
VERBENACEAE						
<i>Callicarpa americana</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x
VIOLACEAE						
<i>Viola primulifolia</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x

20) *Phytologia* (Feb 2004) 86(1)

FAMILY/ SPECIES	SITE					
	5	6	7	8	9	10
VITACEAE						
<i>Vitis rotundifolium</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x
XYRIDACEAE						
<i>Xyris ambigua</i>	-	-	-	-	x	x
<i>X. laxifolia</i>	-	-	x	x		
<i>Sphagnum</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x
Liverworts	x	x	x	x	x	x

Appendix 3. Species/plot occurrence.

Species	Occurrence by Plot									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
<i>Vaccinium</i> sp.	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
<i>Woodwardia areolata</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
<i>Magnolia virginiana</i>		x	x	x	x		x	x	x	x
<i>Osmunda cinnamomea</i>		x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x
<i>Persea palustis</i>		x		x	x	x	x	x	x	x
<i>Michella repens</i>	x	x	x	x		x		x	x	
<i>Nyssa biflora</i>	x	x		x	x			x	x	x
<i>Carex leptalea</i>	x		x			x		x	x	x
<i>Liquidambar styr.</i>			x		x	x	x	x		
<i>Viburnum nudum</i>	x	x	x			x		x		x
<i>Carex debilis</i>			x	x	x	x			x	
<i>Ilex opaca</i>	x	x	x	x				x		
Liverworts	x						x	x	x	x
<i>Morella carolinensis</i>			x	x			x	x		x
<i>Osmunda regalis</i>	x	x	x			x			x	
<i>Acer rubrum</i>		x	x					x	x	
<i>Dichanthelium</i> sp.							x	x	x	x
<i>Eleocharis tortilis</i>					x		x	x		x
<i>Lycopus rubellus</i>	x		x				x		x	
<i>Smilax laurifolia</i>	x	x	x							x
<i>Rhododendron</i> sp.		x					x			x
<i>Solidago patula</i>						x	x		x	
<i>Callicarpa americana</i>					x	x				
<i>Athyrium filix-fem.</i>		x				x				
<i>Chasmanthium laxum</i>			x							x
<i>Chionanthus virgin.</i>			x	x						
<i>Ilex vomitoria</i>						x			x	
<i>Morella cerifera</i>	x	x								
<i>Pinus taeda</i>	x				x					
<i>Toxicodendron vernix</i>		x								x
<i>Scleria</i> sp.		x					x			

Appendix 3. Species/plot occurrence (cont.).

[illegible]